| 68-13-1. | (Authorized by K.S.A. 65-1630; | implementing K.S.A. | 2001 Supp. | 65-1642; | effective |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| May 1, 1 | 988: amended Feb. 7, 2003; revol | ced P | |) | |

APPROVED

JUN 2 9 2018

Division of the Budget

APPROVED

JUL 06 2018

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

APPROVED

AUG 7 2018

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement For the Kansas Division of the Budget

Kansas Board of Pharmacy
Agency

Alexandra Blasi Agency Contact 785-296-8419 Contact Phone Number

68-13-1 K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N
Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

KAR 68-13-1 is being considered for revocation as the result of recent Board adoption of three new regulations governing sterile and non-sterile compounding in Kansas (KAR 68-13-2, 68-13-3, and 68-13-4). This regulation is now outdated and moot.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

The content of this regulation is not mandated by the federal government. This approach is consistent with other states.

- III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:
 - A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The revocation will not impact business activities or growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

No economic impact. Revocation is consistent with the newly adopted compounding regulations.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;

Pharmacies

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

No cost or benefit involved with revocation.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

JUN 2 9 2018

Division of the Budget

Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) E. and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

None – no cost of implementation.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and F. compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$0

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$0

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES \square NO \boxtimes

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

None.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

NO \boxtimes YES \square

If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, G. counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

No such impact.

Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, H. associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

The Board utilized its compounding task force composed of Kansas pharmacists to craft new compounding regulations that necessitate revocation of this regulation. The task force met for more than ten years.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

JUN 2 9 2018

Division of the Budget

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not an environmental rule/reg.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

JUN 2 9 2018

Division of the Budget